

**From:** Patricia Bonaz [mailto:[patbonaz@verizon.net](mailto:patbonaz@verizon.net)]  
**Sent:** Friday, May 15, 2015 6:49 PM  
**To:** Timothy M. Mulford  
**Subject:** 445 Upshire Cir Comments on Architectural Changes Process

Hello,

I apologize for the last minute comments but here they are:

- 1/ Like-for-like changes should have a simplified process: inform only.
- 2/ Can you come up with a list of pre-approved items (such as “garage doors” or “window style” which would not require going through the whole approval process so that home-owners can move faster through the projects)
- 3/ my house had louvered shuttered; that is the way I bought the house (and I don’t believe the previous owner changed them either...); I don’t intend to change them for panel just “because”. This should be grand-fathered; also a bit of variety in the shutters is not a “bad” thing...remember what you wrote on “cookie-cutter syndrome”!!
- 4/ I assume “sealing” of an asphalt driveway does not require pre-approval...Can you clarify in the document? I am not sure if it falls under resurfacing? This should be considered general maintenance, right?
- 5/ Under landscaping, do you mean that if I want to change a bush in my front yard, I need prior approval? If that is the case, I think it is a bit much (I understand that major landscaping should go through the process but not small planting). Can you please clarify? minor changes should not require pre-approval to my opinion.
- 6/ I think 1000\$ fine is absolutely out-of-line and unacceptable.
- 7/ It would be great if the review process could be fluid and not subject to board meeting dates.

Also, I completely agree with David Belgard’s comments.

Sincerely,

Patricia Bonaz  
445 Upshire Circle